



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/347,374	07/06/1999	HIEP PHAM	WIDCC006/00U	3773

7590 08/20/2003

PATENT GROUP
COOLEY GODWARD LLP
FIVE PALO ALTO SQUARE
3000 EL CAMINO REAL
PALO ALTO, CA 943062155

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2661

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003

11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/347,374	PHAM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	David R Vincent	2661

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cheung (US 5,812,531).

The claims in their present form use terms like nodes and do not make it clear as to when the applicant is referring to AP and mobiles. Also phrases like "network information" are not very specific.

As shown in Figs. 1-9, Cheung discloses a data communication system (col. 5, lines 39-56), a first network (e.g., 10, Fig. 1; 60, Fig. 2, networks comprising AP1, Figs. 3-4; each AP can be a dedicated internetworking device, col. 5, lines 39-56), a second network (e.g., Figs. 1-2 or networks comprising AP2, Figs. 3-4), means for forwarding from a first network to a second network (either wirelessly, e.g., col. 6, lines 48-59; col. 10, lines 40-51; or using a node which in

Art Unit: 2661

located in two different networks, e.g., E, B, C, Fig. 1; B, Figs. 3-4; clearly a node that is in range of at least two APs can forward data from one network to another, Fig. 5 where node B is listed as a first tier node for both AP1 and AP2), as specified in claims 1-2, 6, 7; means for receiving a first set of network information relating to said first network (broadcasting topology data including APs network/IP address, col. 4, lines 52-67; AP broadcasts, e.g., col. 6, line 59-col. 7, line 17; every node will emit a broadcast topology message, col. 6, lines 66-67, therefore B, Fig. 3-4 can forward data from network one to network two and this data can include IP addresses, col. 7, lines 1-9; col. 8, lines 14-19), as specified in claims 3, 5, 7; first node (reads on B or AP1, Figs., 3-4), as specified in claim 4; an internetworking node (each AP can be a dedicated internetworking device, col. 5, lines 39-56: clearly a node that is in range of at least two APs can forward data from one network to another, Fig. 5 where node B is listed as a first tier node for both AP1 and AP2), as specified in claim 8; determining wireless internetwork path based on connectivity advertisement and additional connectivity advertisement broadcast (reads on using both the topology and beacon broadcasts and selecting paths based on which AP is better suited for the node at that time, e.g., col. 6, line 59-col. 7,

Art Unit: 2661

line 67, and the fact that nodes receive topology from a plurality of devices not just the APs), as specified in claim 7, 9-13, 15-16, terminating first connection (determining that the signal strength from AP1 is not as good as what a node is receiving from AP2 or by reading the table, Fig. 5 and determining that the node which B was communicating is now a second tier element, col. 7, lines 18-43; col. 9, lines 26-29), as specified in claim 14.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

Art Unit: 2661

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R Vincent whose telephone number is 703 305 4957. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on 703 305 4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 0377.


David R Vincent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2661

August 14, 2003